Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review
www.plhr.org.pk

RESEARCH PAPER

Social Psychology: A Study on Small-Group

[1]Dr, Amir Ali Shah, [2]Nadia Ibrahim and Mansor Sher [3]

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Sindh, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University , Sindh, Pakistan
2. MSELM. Department of Education, University of SZABIST, Sindh, Pakistan
3. Bs Honours, Department of international Relation, University of Sindh Jamshoro, Sindh, Pakistan

| *Corresponding Author | amir.shah@sbbusba.edu.pk |

ABSTRACT

This study examined social psychology of behaviour in small- group which cover the theories of group behaviour. Moreland, Hogg, and Hains (1994) discovered a surge in interest in small groups published in major social psychology journals between 1975 and 1993, which has since continued to grow in the field of social psychology. This study examined the psychological effects of group dynamics on small-group decision-making behavior. Descriptive approach and thematic analysis has been used to identify common themes and patterns in small- group behavior. Secondary sources like books, journal articles, and online databases were used to analyze group cohesion and dynamics from a psychological perspective, focusing on individual behavior and decision-making processes. Overall, the findings suggest that understanding group dynamics can lead to improved teamwork and overall performance. The study suggests that small group identity formation is crucial for decision making, integrative negotiations, and shared cognitions, emphasizing the need for social science to consider psychological, structural, and material features rather than classifications.

| **Keywords:** | Ecology of Groups, Group Composition, Group Performance, Small Group, Social Psychology |

Introduction

According to the definition of social psychology, it is an attempt to understand and explain how the actual, imagined, or implied presence of others influences the thoughts, feelings, and behaviors of individuals in social situations in social situations. This field of psychology focuses on the way that people perceive and interact with others around them, and how these interactions can impact their behavior and decisions.(Tajfel, 1979). All port's definition is superior to small group studies. It emphasizes the importance of individual differences in shaping behavior. The emphasis on individual differences allows for a more nuanced understanding of behavior within social contexts. This understanding can provide more accurate predictions and interventions in social situations(Steiner, 1974).

History of Group-Based Social Psychology Research

Social psychologists have long studied groups and produced several key conclusions. One may say that this endeavor began with a number of great scientific efforts conducted in the years preceding World War II. The work of Kurt Lewin and his colleagues is often cited as a cornerstone in the field. Their research laid the foundation for modern social psychology. This research marked a turning point in the field, leading to a greater understanding of human behavior and interactions that continues to shape

the way we study psychology today (Sherif, 2015). The 1940s saw a slight loss of impetus, but following the war, interest in small groups quickly expanded and eventually took center stage in social psychology. An interesting study was done on subjects including leadership, conformity, and conflict both inside and across groups, and new hypotheses regarding groups were put forth. Some of these hypotheses included social identity theory and realistic conflict theory. The study ultimately sheds light on the complexities of group dynamics and how individuals interact within them.(Abrams & Hogg, 2006).An analysis of interaction processes was created. Social psychologists were quite enthusiastic about conducting small-group research because of these and other considerations. It appeared like everyone was studying in groups, and these kinds of works were featured in all the periodicals. But gradually, this excitement gave rise to doubts and objections regarding the reliability and applicability of small-group study findings (Latané, 1981).Unfortunately, this joyful time was short lived. Many social psychologists started to lose interest in small groups around the end of the 1950s. Numerous justifications have been put out for this decrease. Some believe that the focus shifted to larger social issues and societal problems. Others argue that the lack of funding and resources for studying small groups led to this decline. However, regardless of the reasons, interest in small groups experienced a resurgence in the 1970s and continues to be a significant area of study(Hewstone & Stroebe, 2021).Social psychologists were uncertain about whether and how to proceed due to McGrath's argument that research findings about groups accumulated more quickly than theoretical insights (Schütz, 1944).However, they ultimately decided to continue conducting research in order to deepen their understanding of group dynamics. Ultimately, they believed that practical knowledge could be gained through empirical investigation. Moreland contended that initially fruitful partnerships between practitioners and scientists eventually broke down, making it harder for each to do group analysis(Toch, 2013).This breakdown in communication hindered progress in the field. Research on groups, according to Zander, lost favor as more people became aware of how expensive and time-consuming it could be. As a result, the focus shifted towards individual analysis instead. Ultimately, social psychology research, according to Steiner (1974), follows societal trends (albeit a decade or so later), and research on small groups has been on the rise (Ling et al., 2005).Consequently, because there was so much conflict in the 1940s, research on groups gained popularity in the 1950s, but it lost favor in the 1960s because there was less conflict in the 1950s. However, interest in group research resurged in the 1970s due to changing societal dynamics and has remained a prominent area of study since then.

Literature Review

Numerous fields of social psychology study have been mostly examined as individual phenomena, but group dynamics analysis might be beneficial. Studies on attitude modification, bias, the construction of self-concepts, stereotyping, and emotions abound in the field of social psychology, yet the majority of this study has overlooked how these processes function in small groups. The literature review highlights the need for further research in order to fully understand group dynamics. Understanding how self-concepts, stereotyping, and emotions operate within small groups is crucial for developing a comprehensive understanding of group dynamics.

The theory and research were categorized into five topic areas by Levine and Moreland (1990, 1998, and 2006): (i) group composition; (ii) group structure; (iii) group performance; (iv) conflict in groups; and (v) the ecology of groups. These categories continue to serve as a framework for understanding group dynamics(Garay & Remedios, 2021).By deciding to group research across the three major social psychology

disciplines from 1975 to 1993, Moreland, Hogg, and Hains (1994) were the first to systematically examine these trends. They were able to identify patterns and gaps in the literature that had previously gone unnoticed. This approach allowed for a more comprehensive understanding of the development of social psychology during that time period. Specifically, they found that there was a lack of research on the influence of cultural factors in shaping social psychological phenomena(Kahn & Martin, 2020). According to their findings, the study of intergroup connections played a major role in the rise in interest in group research starting in the late 1980s. Over time, there was a drop in interest in social processes inside groups, such as group composition, conflict within groups, and group performance. The focus shifted towards understanding how groups interact with each other and the impact of intergroup dynamics on individual behavior. Research on intergroup dynamics has become increasingly prevalent in the field of social psychology(Jaspal, 2023). Studies on attitude modification, bias, the construction of self-concepts, stereotyping, and emotions abound in the field of social psychology, yet the majority of this study has overlooked how these processes function in small groups. Numerous issues that are fundamental to the human social experience have gone unanswered as a result of this neglect. Understanding these processes within the context of small groups is crucial for a more comprehensive understanding of human behavior. By studying these processes in small groups, researchers can gain valuable insights into how they manifest on a larger scale within society(Nuraliyeva, 2020). It will be more and more crucial to take into account how people's ideas and feelings function in more intricate and dynamic social contexts as social psychology develops. Consequently, the assertion made by some that individuals act independently of their social environment may become increasingly outdated. As social psychology progresses, it is clear that understanding the interplay between individuals and their social environment is essential. Therefore, it is important to consider both internal and external factors when studying human behavior(Freud & Strachey, 2024). It is concerning that small group research has shifted from social psychology to other disciplines. These subjects and developments in small-group social psychology research have not received as much attention or recognition. They are just as important and impactful as research in other fields. The contributions of small-group social psychology research should not be overlooked. It is crucial to recognize the valuable insights and advancements made within these disciplines. They play a significant role in advancing our understanding of human behavior and interactions(Brown, 2020).Many young social psychology scholars with a focus on small-group research have taken academic positions in other disciplines, like communication and organizational behavior/management, since the mid-1990s, reflecting a growing recognition of the importance of understanding group dynamics in various fields of study and practice. The interdisciplinary nature of research on group dynamics in the modern academic landscape is essential for addressing complex societal challenges. It is crucial for researchers and practitioners to collaborate across disciplines in order to develop innovative solutions(Rudman & Glick, 2021). The above literature review provides a comprehensive overview of the current state of research on the topic. It serves as a foundation for further exploration and analysis in this field. Further research could build upon the findings presented here to deepen our understanding of the topic.

Material and Methods

This study employed secondary data from a variety of published sources, including social psychology. When assessing the data, the researchers used descriptive statistical approaches. The descriptive approach was used to characterize the observed trend, which included small-group dynamics and individual behavior patterns. The

primary goal was to understand the historical context of the events in order to provide a deeper understanding of their impact on society. The social psychology of the time period was a key focus of the research, shedding light on the underlying motivations and behaviors of individuals during that era. Small-group study sessions were also conducted to analyze how group dynamics influenced decision-making processes. The results of the study showed that group dynamics played a significant role in shaping individuals' behaviors and attitudes. This knowledge was instrumental in understanding the overall societal changes of the era.

Result and Discussion

The results of this study indicate that enhanced social and psychological well-being is significantly correlated with participation in small groups. This finding suggests that fostering connections within small groups can have a positive impact on overall. This may be particularly important for individuals who struggle with feelings of loneliness or isolation. In addition, the study also highlights the importance of building a sense of community and support through participation in small groups. By creating a space for individuals to connect and share experiences, small groups can help combat feelings of loneliness and improve overall well-being.

Group Dynamics / Composition

As workplace diversity grows, group composition concerns become increasingly important. This research is focused on the quantity and sorts of people who belong to a certain group. The makeup of a group can have an impact on its other features, such as member satisfaction and performance. Similarly, group qualities such as status and conflict) might drive individuals to join or leave the group, altering its makeup. Ultimately, a group's makeup is crucial in determining its overall success and effectiveness. Mannix and Neale (2005) found that members can differ in a variety of ways. Thus, one key topic in this field is about the actions of people who belong to relatively homogenous or heterogeneous groups. For example, research has shown that individuals in homogenous groups are more likely to conform to and be influenced by group norms. On the other hand, individuals in heterogeneous groups are more likely to express diverse opinions and think independently. In summary, group composition plays a significant role in shaping individual behavior and decision-making processes. Bowers, Pharmer, and Salas, 2000. Group socialization is an understudied process that determines group foundation. Group socialization refers to how a group's connections with its members alter over time. These shifts might result in the admission of newcomers and the departure of old timers, among other things. Group socialization is crucial for establishing group norms and cohesion. It also helps determine the group's overall success and effectiveness, as well as foster a sense of belonging and camaraderie among its members. A thorough overview of small-group composition can be found in Moreland and Levine's (1992) work, and a number of chapters on the subject are available in Gruenfeld's (1998) selection.

Structure of Small-Groups

A group's structure is the internal framework that defines members' long-term connections with one another. This research looks at group norms, status structures, roles, friendship and communication networks, and so forth. Group structure emerges rapidly but evolves slowly. One of the first studies on tiny groups showed how rapidly norms emerge in new groupings. Similarly, expectation states theory (Zelditch, 1998)

explains how members of newly created task-oriented organizations acquire distinct status or prestige. Members who are thought to be task competent from the outset are given opportunities to exhibit their abilities, resulting in a high status position. On the other hand, members who are not perceived as task competent from the beginning may struggle to gain status within the organization. Because people with high status have more influence over decisions made in groups and hold a central place in communication networks, sending and receiving more messages than other people. Cota, Evans, Dion, Kilik, and Longman (1995) include social elements in their 'main' component of group cohesiveness, which describes collaboration and attractiveness among members. For a thorough analysis of the link between group cohesiveness and performance.

The Ecology of Groups and Social Networks

The ecology of groups focuses on the physical, time-based, and social settings in which groups function. Unfortunately, little is known about the setting outside of a group because most of the research on small groups has concentrated on internal dynamics. According to Ancona and Bresman (2007), understanding group dynamics requires a balance of internal and external factors. In recent years, group researchers have investigated the impact of technological contexts on groups. Groups with geographically scattered members are becoming more popular, and as a result, members are adopting computer-mediated means of communication more frequently. Members of these groups often rely on tools such as video conferencing, online chat platforms, and virtual meeting spaces to collaborate effectively. As a result, many are able to work together seamlessly despite being located in different parts of the world. Driskell, Radtke, and Salas (2003) hypothesize that, in comparison to face-to-face groups, computer-mediated communication will probably result in decreased interpersonal attraction among participants, increased counter normative behavior, and more challenging communication. However, these effects should gradually diminish. Theories of group evolution (e.g., Wheelan, 1994) describe how groups evolve over time. A group's culture and interactions with those outside of the group establish its social environment. An example of how organizations handle communication with outsiders is given.

What topics do social psychologists investigate when conducting group research? The solution can be discovered. There is an obvious disparity. More than half (57%) of the publications addressed intergroup connections, which included research on social identity (14%), group conflict (17%), and stereotyping (26%). Many researchers were interested in two topics: (a) group performance (14%), which included leadership (3%), productivity (4%), and group decision making (7%); and (b) group conflict (13%), which included social dilemmas (3%), negotiation (3%), majority/minority influence (6%), and power (1%). The other three issue areas, namely group structure (6%), group composition (5%), and group ecology (5%), were examined far less often, which appears to be a shame considering that each one is intriguing and potentially.

Conclusion

This conclusion and its findings, of course, do not represent a thorough and extensive investigation of the prevalence of publishing bias. There are numerous explanations for the relationship between effect magnitude, sample size, and status (published or unpublished). It appears from this research that developing relationships within small groups can improve overall. Different published data suggests that

individuals who have strong connections with others in small groups tend to experience higher levels of satisfaction and well-being. This highlights the importance of fostering meaningful relationships within a close-knit community for personal growth and fulfillment. However, it does not rule out the possibility of publication bias influencing the criteria for interpreting the empirically confirmed effect sizes in this study. The study described in this article is one step toward a more in-depth understanding and interpretation of effects in social psychology. Many of the study findings raise problems concerning the characteristics and settings under which the influence of the differences observed is interpreted. More studies may help us better comprehend this crucial subject in social psychology.

Recommendations

Further research is needed to explore the potential impact of publication bias on the results as well as to investigate other factors that may influence the interpretation of effect sizes in social psychology. By continuing to build upon this study, researchers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the complexities involved in analyzing and interpreting findings in this field.

Reference

Abrams, D., & Hogg, M. A. (2006). *Social identifications: A social psychology of intergroup relations and group processes*: Routledge.

Brown, R. (2020). The social identity approach: Appraising the Tajfellian legacy. *British Journal of Social Psychology, 59*(1), 5-25.

Freud, S., & Strachey, J. (2024). *Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego:[Illustrated & Psychology Glossary & Index Added Inside]*: E-Kitap Projesi & Cheapest Books.

Garay, M. M., & Remedios, J. D. (2021). A review of White-centering practices in multiracial research in social psychology. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 15*(10), e12642.

Hewstone, M., & Stroebe, W. (2021). *An introduction to social psychology*: John Wiley & Sons.

Jaspal, R. (2023). The social psychology of contemporary antisemitism. *Israel Affairs, 29*(1), 31-51.

Kahn, K. B., & Martin, K. D. (2020). The social psychology of racially biased policing: Evidence-based policy responses. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 7*(2), 107-114.

Latané, B. (1981). The psychology of social impact. *American psychologist, 36*(4), 343.

Ling, K., Beenen, G., Ludford, P., Wang, X., Chang, K., Li, X., . . . Rashid, A. M. (2005). Using social psychology to motivate contributions to online communities. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 10*(4), 00-00. ???

Nuraliyeva, D. M. (2020). The Problems Of Developing Social Psychological Mechanisms In The Family. *Theoretical & Applied Science*(1), 711-713.

Rudman, L. A., & Glick, P. (2021). *The social psychology of gender: How power and intimacy shape gender relations*: Guilford Publications.

Schütz, A. (1944). The stranger: An essay in social psychology. *American journal of Sociology, 49*(6), 499-507.

Sherif, M. (2015). *Group conflict and co-operation: Their social psychology*: Psychology Press.

Steiner, I. D. (1974). Whatever happened to the group in social psychology? *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 10*(1), 94-108.

Tajfel, H. (1979). Individuals and groups in social psychology. *British Journal of social and clinical psychology, 18*(2), 183-190.

Toch, H. (2013). *The social psychology of social movements (Psychology revivals)*: Routledge.